

DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF THE FIRST VERSION OF A QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MEASURING PRE-SERVICE PRIMARY TEACHERS' SCIENCE CONTENT KNOWLEDGE



# DESARROLLO Y VALIDACIÓN DE LA PRIMERA VERSIÓN DE UN CUESTIONARIO PARA DETERMINAR EL CONOCIMIENTO CIENTÍFICO DE MAESTROS DE PRIMARIA EN FORMACIÓN

VERDUGO-PERONA, José Javier<sup>1</sup>; SOLAZ-PORTOLÉS, Joan Josep<sup>2\*</sup>; SANJOSÉ-LÓPEZ, Vicente<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Post-graduate Student at the University of Valencia, Spain.

<sup>2,3</sup>Universitat de València, Facultat de Magisteri, Departament de Didàctica de les Ciències Experimentals i Socials, Avinguda dels Tarongers 4, 46022 Valencia (Spain)

> \* Autor correspondente e-mail: Joan.Solaz@uv.es

Received 12 July 2016; accepted 20 July 2016

# ABSTRACT

A first version of an instrument to assess science conceptual knowledge in pre-service primary teachers is elaborated and validated. A multiple-choice test with 50 items was proposed. Four content areas of science from the curriculum of Primary Education in Spain were considered: natural environment and its conservation, biological diversity, health education and the human body, matter and energy. A sample of 83 pre-service teachers from a spanish university participated in the statistical validation process. Both external consistency (Test-Retest correlation) and internal consistency (Kurder-Richardson's 20th formula) were above 0.7. Item difficulty and discrimination indices were analysed as well as distractor election. Although some adjustments should be made, indicators suggest that the instrument is appropriate for its purpose. Furthermore, remarkable results about participants' poor conceptual mastery and misconceptions were identified. Implications of these findings are discussed.

Keywords: Trainee elementary school teachers, science concepts, conceptual knowledge, instrument validation.

## RESUMEN

Se ha elaborado y validado una primera versión de un instrumento para evaluar el conocimiento de conceptos científicos de los maestros de primaria en formación. Se ha un propuesto un cuestionario de opción múltiple de 50 ítems. En él se han tenido en cuenta las cuatro áreas científicas del currículum de la Educación Primaria en España: medio natural y su conservación, diversidad biológica, educación para la salud y el cuerpo humano, y materia y energía. En el proceso de validación estadístico han participado 83 maestros de primaria en formación, estudiantes de una universidad española. Tanto la consistencia externa (correlación Test-Retest), como la interna (Fórmula 20 de Kurder-Richard), son del orden de 0.7. Asimismo, se han analizado los índices de dificultad y de discriminación de los ítems, y la elección de los distractores. Todos los indicadores sugieren que el cuestionario es apropiado para el objetivo propuesto, aunque son necesarios algunos ajustes. Por otro lado, los participantes han puesto en evidencia un pobre dominio conceptual y algunas concepciones alternativas. Finalmente, a partir de los resultados obtenidos se discuten algunas implicaciones.

Palabras clave: Estudiantes de Magisterio, conceptos de ciencia, conocimiento conceptual, validación de un instrumento.

# INTRODUCTION

Teachers need to develop knowledge, skills, and attitudes in many domains, such as subject matter knowledge, general pedagogical knowledge, knowledge of the context of learning, and pedagogical content knowledge or knowledge that is specific to teaching a particular subject area (Goodnough & Hung, 2009). It should be noted that, according to Kaya's (2009) study, without strong content knowledge, strong pedagogical content knowledge is impossible to achieve.

Teacher's content knowledge is a critical component in teaching as it affects what teachers teach and how they teach (Lewthwaite & MacIntyre, 2003). Mellado (2000) emphasized the importance of subject-matter knowledge as a necessary but not sufficient condition for preservice teachers' training. In fact, literature has shown a strong relationship between science teachers' competence and their content knowledge (Alexander, 2000).

However, some studies on primary teachers renewed the concern about their poor science background knowledge (Appleton, 2003; Campanario, 1998; Cañal, 2000; Hackling *et al.*, 2007; Macdonald & Hoban, 2009). Lack of science content knowledge is often cited as the cause of teachers' inability to teach science effectively (Fleer, 2009) and can lead their students to misconceptions (Kaptan & Korkmaz, 2001). In the Harlen's (1997) study primary teachers expressed low confidence in teaching science, which was linked to a lack of understanding of scientific ideas.

In spite of the relevance of elementary teachers' science content knowledge, there is very little research available on this issue (Diamond *et al.*, 2013). The main goal of this study is to design and to validate a tool for assessing spanish primary teachers' science content knowledge. This study also tries to identify teachers' misconceptions, weaknesses and strengths in different science content knowledge areas.

## METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1. Instrument design and development

According to Jarrett et al. (2012), multiple-

choice instruments are suitable for data collection in educational surveys. In order to design a multiple-choice instrument for assessing spanish primary teachers' science conceptual knowledge, several steps were taken. First, a full revision of national curriculum documents was made to determine the main subject areas involved in Primary Science in Spain. Four areas of curricular content (or "blocks") are distinguished curriculum documents: in national natural environment and its conservation ("Environment" onwards), biological diversity ("Life" onwards), health education and the human body ("Health"), and matter and energy ("Energy"). The content in these blocks is similar to the science content recommended by the AAAS' report (1989) and it has been taken into account in other instruments, such as in Laugksch and Spargo's (1996) one.

National curriculum documents for spanish elementary science mentione a long list of scientific concepts. In order to keep the minimum set of concepts covering the maximum knowledge, a set of well-known and widely used textbooks in Spain were analysed. Page by page, the textbooks were carefully examined, paying attention to the expositive content as well as to the application tasks. We took into account the concept frequency and the relationship among the concepts in the main ideas of the lessons to determine the most outstanding concepts. A set of 50 scientific concepts resulted from that analysis. Each main concept was associated to specific basic science knowledge. These concepts were considered as "core" concepts in primary school, and important for further scientific learning (propaedeutic role). Of course, these concepts should also be considered verv important in the training science curriculum of pre-service primary school teachers, as they will have to instruct their students in these concepts.

This set of main concepts was considered to elaborate a Primary Science Concepts Questionnaire (PSCQ-1 onwards). We covered the aforementioned four curricular blocks. The researchers elaborated the items trying to maintain the independence of the 50 concepts. In that way, answering a particular item correctly did not require knowledge of other main concepts. In order to keep conceptual knowledge independent from other types of knowledge, each item had a purely conceptual nature. Thus, giving the right answer to the items did not involve procedural skills but only definitions, knowing taxonomic or

partonomic relations, application contexts, or specific functions for body organs.

Five options were provided for each item: a correct one, three distractors and an open one to be written by the participant. Instructions to fill in the instrument informed that there was only a correct option in each item. Instructions also asked students to use the open option just and only if they considered erroneous the four options provided. In that way, we could obtain feedback about possible errors in the item design, reading obstacles or if the options provided were inadequate. Appendix 1 shows the resulting questionnaire PSCQ-1 (the open option in each item has been excluded for space reasons).

## 2.2. Procedure and participants

The validation procedure followed two main steps. In the first step a group of three professors of various ranks, experts in science education, analysed the 50 items proposed. They should judge whether they were suitable for Primary School or not. They suggested a few changes and adjustments. According to their suggestions, some replacements and re-writing were made. In addition, two experts considered that certain items (for instance, #8 and #21) involved geography concepts and not geology concepts, and suggested the possibility of remove them from the questionnaire. This recommendation was taken into account to improve the instrument at the end of the validation phase.

In the second step five intact groups (classroom groups formed by the university authorities) of pre-service primarv school teachers from a spanish university participated in the study. Participants were enrolled in "Science for Primary School-Teachers", a compulsory subject. In order to assess the consistency and reliability of the questionnaire, a Test-Retest procedure was followed, with a two week delay between the first and the second administration. The Test and the Retest took place in the final quarter of the course. Participants spent around 45 minutes to fill in the questionnaire in each administration. Each item was evaluated as correct (1 point) or incorrect (0 points).

We obtained valid data from 83 male and female students, aged 19-51, with an average of 22 years old. These subjects made up our sample.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1. Global results

A global mean score was obtained from the 50 items taken together. In the first administration of the questionnaire, the average percentage of correct answers reached 61% corresponding to a mean score of 30.5 over 50, with a standard deviation, SD, of 6.5. The quartiles were defined by the scores 26.0; 31.0; 35.0. In the Retest, the average of correct answers was 66 percent, corresponding to a mean score of 33.0 over 50 (SD = 6.0). The quartiles were defined by the scores 28.5; 33.0; 37.0. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that both the Test and the Retest distributions were significantly different from not Gaussian distributions (Test and Retest: p > 0.20).

The content blocks obtained similar (normalized) mean percentages of correct answers in the first administration (from 60% in "Life", to 65% in "Environment"), and in the Retest (from 61% in "Health", to 68% in "Environment").

A 2x4 Administration (Test/Retest) x Block (Environment/Life/Health/Energy) repeated measures ANOVA, was conducted. Significant differences, with a moderate to high effect size and good statistical power were obtained for the main effect of the Administration factor, i.e., the Test-Retest comparison (F = 9.764; p = 0.003;  $\eta^2$  = 0.14; P = 0.89). Thus, Retest (second administration of the questionnaire) obtained better global score than the Test (first administration). This was probably due to the well-known "learning effect" when the same test is administered twice to the same subjects.

Significance was also obtained for the main effect of the Block factor, with a moderate effect size but with an insufficient statistical power (F = 2.941; p = 0.040;  $\eta^2$  = 0.13; P = 0.67). Thus, once the Test and Retest scores were collapsed, there were intra-block differences. Post-hoc analysis showed the significant differences in the "Environment/ Life" (t = 2.316; p = 0.024) and "Environment/ Health" (t = 2.477; p = 0.016) comparisons.

Finally, there was not significant "Administration x Block" interaction (p = 0.252), so Test-Retest differences were statistically similar in the four blocks.

## 3.2. Item difficulty indices

We also explored the difficulty index (the proportion of correct answers) of each of the 50 items in the Test and in the Retest. In the first administration (the "Test"), these indices had values between 0.12 (the most difficult one) and 0.93 (the easiest one). A 25 per cent of the items had a difficulty index lower than 0.46, and the other quarter of the items had a difficulty index higher than 0.75. In the Retest, the difficulty index higher than 0.75. In the Retest, the difficulty indices ranged from 0.09 to 0.96. A quarter of the items had a difficulty index below 0.54 and another quarter had a difficulty index over 0.82.

We can define as "normal" those items with difficulty indices within 0.65 and 0.84 (Aiken, 2003). Thus, 54 per cent were "normal items" in PSCQ-1 when Test and Retest were considered together. However, the distribution was not symmetric: only 10% were "difficult" items (i.e. difficulty index over 0.85) and 36% were easy items (i.e. difficulty index 0.65 or below). Among the "easy" items, only 10% were very easy items (difficulty index less than 0.30).

## 3.3. Item discrimination indices

In addition. we calculated the discrimination indices, D, in a classical way. Thus, we considered the upper 27% subgroup (the subjects with the 27 per cent highest global scores) and the lower 27% subgroup in the sample. Then, for each item, we computed the difference  $D_k = U_k - L_k$ , where k is the particular item,  $U_k$ , is the proportion of correct answers to item k in the upper group, and  $L_k$  is the proportion of correct answers to item k in the lower group (Kelly, 1939). Answer options with positive Dvalues are those selected by the high-score group of students more frequently than by lowscore group. Items with D-values over 0.30 are usually considered good discriminants. On the other side. D-values near to 0 indicate low o not discrimination between high and low skilled students according to that instrument. In the first administration of the PSCQ-1, 16% of the items (#1, #9, #11, #12, #31, #43, #44 and #49) obtained low discrimination values (D < 0.10). The remaining items obtained good discrimination the second values. In administration (Retest) only 8% of the items (#16, #20, #24 and #31) obtained low D-values. In both administrations, none of the low discrimination items resulted of special difficulty for the subjects. These items had non-significant item-total (point-

biserial) correlation values (p > 0.10), in coherence with their low discrimination values. Thus, in order to improve the questionnaire these items should be revised.

# 3.4. Non-functional distractors

Non-functional distractors (NFD) have been defined by DiBattista and Kurzawa (2011) as answer wrong options in multiple-choice items obtaining very low election proportion, or a very low discrimination index. The first criterion (low election proportion) let us identify a first group of NFD: those selected by less than 5 per cent of the participants. Considering the Test and Retest together, 30 per cent of the items had two NFD, whereas 68 per cent had none or only one NFD in PSCQ-1. Detailed inspection let us split the items having more than one NFD in two different subgroups: very easy items and items pointing out some conceptual error or wrong learning. Some items were so easy (high proportion of correct answers) that the wrong options could not reach 5% in two or three distractors at the same time. The only item having three NFD (item #12) fits this case. In the other subgroup we found items with a wrong option selected with high frequency, and then, with the other two wrong options having less than 5 per cent of selection.

The second DiBattista and Kurzawa criterion for NFD (positive discrimination) determines those distractors selected by the upper-score group of students more frequently than by the lower-score group. We detected one of this type of NFD in item #2 and another one in item #31. These wrong options selected by competent students should be reviewed. Appendix 1 shows the percentages of distractor election in every item of PSCQ-1.

# 3.5. Internal consistency (reliability)

The PSCQ-1 is far from a "single construct" measuring instrument. Each of the 50 items involved just one concept, and these concepts were selected to be independent so that the knowledge maximum could be covered. Concepts involved can or cannot be related in subjects' memory. Thus, item-item correlations do not necessarily have to be high or significant. Although concepts involved in the items can be considered nearly independent, reliability was measured by the Kurder-Richardson's 20th formula ("KR-20 value"). It seemed reasonable to find some significant correlations between certain

items, as university students with a high level of science knowledge have usually studied various scientific subjects and, conversely, university students with a low level of science knowledge have chosen other academic options and do not probably remember much of their past knowledge, whatever the scientific conceptual block or scientific topic may be.

Reliability values were high enough in the first administration (Test: KR-20 = 0.78) as well as in the second administration (Retest: KR-20 = 0.79). Therefore, the PSCQ-1 reliability was considered enough (George & Mallery, 2003). We computed the KR-20 values when one item is removed. The KR-20 values did not change significantly and kept in the range [0.75 - 0.78] in the Test, and in the range [0.76 - 0.80] in the Retest. Hence, these results did not suggest that any item should be removed, although eliminating a couple of items would increase the KR-20 values a little bit. These results suggest that, globally, the PSCQ-1 can be used for a reliable assessment of elementary science concept knowledge of spanish pre-service teachers.

#### 3.6. External consistency (consistency over time)

The Test-Retest correlation was used to calculate external consistency. The Pearson's correlation was high and significant for the global scores (r = 0.89; p < 0.001) so consistency was considered good enough. Regarding blocks, the Test-Retest correlations were also significant (p < 0.001) and high enough (from r = 0.66 for "Life" to r = 0.77 for "Energy").

Regarding between-block correlations (Tables 1A and 1B), all the Pearson's coefficients reached significance (Test: p < 0.005; Retest: p < 0.001), although the values were not very high. If we keep in mind that differences in the conceptual content of the blocks were maximised in the instrument, the values can be considered good enough.

# Table 1A.Test: between-blocks correlation values

Pearson's coefficient	Energy	Health	Life
Health	0.49		
Life	0.38	0.35	
Environment	0.45	0.39	0.40

# Table 1B. Retest: between-blocks correlation values

Pearson's coefficient	Energy	Health	Life
Health	0.57		
Life	0.46	0.49	
Environment	0.59	0.60	0.41

In summary, the PSCQ-1 showed good consistency and suitable reliability, although some items need reconsideration.

# 3.6. Pre-service Primary teachers' conceptual knowledge

The Appendix 1 shows the percentage of correct answer in each item. Table 2 shows the most difficult items (average score lower than 0.20) in each blocks in PSCQ-1 and their average percent of success in brackets.

# **Table 2.** The easiest and the most difficult itemsin each concept block (Test and Retestpercentage has been averaged).

	Easiest items	Most difficult
		items
Environment	#23, Epicentre	#18, Galaxy
	(94%)	definition (34%)
Life	#10, Invertebrates	#31, Virus
	(82%)	(29%)
Health	#32, Respiratory	#35, Excretory
	system (79%)	system (12%)
Energy	#12, Properties of	#2, Propagation
	matter (94%)	of sound (14%)
	#43, Kinetic energy	#46, the Earth
	(90%)	Charter (18%)
	#39, Volume units	
	(84%)	
	#40, Density (84%)	

The analysis of wrong options may be of great educational interest. Some items obtained low levels of success in both administrations (Test and Retest) so revealing a poor conceptual mastery, but not a clear conceptual error. In these items, at least two distractors obtained a high frequency compared to the one in the correct option. Items #2, #31 and #46 defined this group.

Other items suggested troubling misconceptions in future teachers. This was found because in these items typical and well-known conceptual errors were associated to distractors specifically designed to this purpose. Test-Retest comparison let us focus on potential

conceptual errors or persistent incorrect learning. Obviously, we expected such misconceptions would be present in a small percentage of future primary school-teachers, but this was not the case in some topics. In these items only one distractor obtained a high frequency compared to the one in the correct option. This was the case of items #11, #17, #18, and #35, for which the wrong answers concentrated on a single option which reached such a high frequency that it overpassed the one in the correct option. In other items, #3, #22, #30 and #38, the wrong answers also concentrated on a single distractor but its frequency was lower than the frequency of the correct option. Information about both subgroups of items is provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Most frequent errors in the sample.
Percentages of election in the distractors and in
the correct answer are given in brackets.

Question	Frequent	Correct
	Error	Answer
-What is the name	Petals	Sepals
given to the leaves	(48%)	(31%)
that form the calyx of a	. ,	. ,
flower? #11		
-What colour is	Black	White
obtained if all the	(49%)	(45%)
colours of the rainbow		
are mixed? #17		
-What is the name	Nebulas	Galaxies
given to the groups	(50%)	(34%)
formed by gas,		
interstellar dust and		
thousands or millions		
of stars? #18		
-In the excretory	Anus	Urethra
system, what duct	(74%)	(12%)
connects to the		
outside of the body?		
#35		
-What is the name	Rotation	Revolution
given to the movement	(21%)	(79%)
of the Earth around the	(= 170)	(1070)
Sun? #3		
-What part of a	Orifice	Pipe by
volcano is the conduit?	connecting	which
#22	volcano to	magma
	the exterior	flows
	(29%)	up (70%)
-Which is the organ	Liver	Kidneys
responsible for filtering	(28%)	(70%)
the blood and rids of	. ,	
waste products? #30		
-What is the name	Gamete	Zygote
given to the cells	(30%)	(67%)
resulting from the	. ,	. ,
fertilization? #38		

The analysis of the discrimination indices for these eight items revealed that in the former subgroup (the first four items) the error was generalized in the sample, but in the latter subgroup the misconception was associated to the less skilled students (27% of subjects with the lowest scores in the whole questionnaire) but not to the high skilled students (27% of subjects with the highest scores in the whole questionnaire).

These results are consistent with previous evidence of pre-service teachers' misconceptions and conceptual errors in different science subjects obtained in previous studies (Bisard *et al.*, 1994; Keles *et al.*, 2010; Kikas, 2004; Schoon & Boone, 1998; Trundle *et al.*, 2002).

## **CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS**

The main objective of this work was designing and validating an instrument to assess elementary science concept knowledge in preservice primary teachers. The instrument was elaborated after analysing some national curriculum documents and bestselling textbooks. This analysis resulted in a set of 50 most-relevant selected concepts in elementary science. Each single item was elaborated using just one different concept. Only conceptual knowledge was necessary to give the correct answer to each item. At the end of the validation study we obtained suitable Test-Retest consistency and enough reliability for the PSCQ-1. Therefore, the proposed questionnaire can be considered as an appropriate instrument to assess Primary School teachers' knowledge of elementary science concepts, at least in Spain, though some indicators of conceptual or statistical nature provided evidence of the need to perform some changes and adjustments in the questionnaire.

In addition, conducting this study let us perceive some troubling issues that should be considered. Our participants, pre-service primary school-teachers, have exhibited poor conceptual knowledge in some items. This fact should warn us of the way primary school teachers are being trained in elementary science at university. Although the average scores in Test and in the Retest (61-66%) were in line with the average (65%) obtained by the National Science Foundation 2012 survey (NSB, 2014) for its wide adult sample, we expected primary school teachers have better science knowledge that

adult general population.

On the other hand, some items have highlighted possible pre-service teachers' misconceptions in elementary science concepts. Some misconceptions were widely distributed in the sample. For instance, as shown in Table 3, almost 50 percent of the subjects considered "petals" as the name of the leaves forming the calyx in a flower (the correct option, "sepals" obtained 31 percent of choice) or they said that blending the rainbow colours together results in colour "black" instead of "white" (45 percent chose the correct option ). Near 80 percent chose the "anus" as a duct, a part of the excretory system connecting to the outside of the body (only 12 percent of the answers were correct: "urethra"). It is always difficult to determine the origin of such misconceptions but probably active learning methods (Michael, 2006) such as giving the students more opportunities to deal with experimental work, and engaging in inquiry learning could solve part of these problems.

# REFERENCES

1. Aiken, L. R. *Psychological testing and assessment* (11th ed.), Allyn and Bacon: Boston, **2003.** 

2. Alexander, P. Doctoral dissertation, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, **2000**.

3. American Association for the Advancement of Science [AAAS] (1989). *Science for all Americans,* AAAS: New York, **1989**.

http://www.project2061.org/publica-

tions/sfaa/online/sfaatoc.htm, accessed June, 2014

4. Appleton, K. *Research in Science Education*, **2003**, 33, 1.

5. Bisard, W. J., Aron, R. H., Francek, M. A., Nelson, B. D. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, **1994**, *24*, 38.

6. Campanario, J. M. *Revista Interuniversitaria de Formación del Profesorado*, 1998, 33, 121.

7. Cañal, P. Alambique, 2000, 24, 46.

8. Diamond, B. S., Maerten-Rivera, J., Rohrer, R., Lee, O. *Florida Journal of Educational Research*, **2013**, *51*, 1. <u>http://feraonline.org/fjer/2013/Dia-</u> mond.51%281%29.pdf, accessed April, 2014

9. DiBattista, D., Kurzawa, L. The Canadian Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 2011, 2, Artihttp://dx.doi.org/10.5206/cjsotlcle 4. rcacea.2011.2.4, accessed May, 2014. 10. Fleer, M. International Journal of Science Education, 2009, 31, 1069. 11. George, D., Mallery, P. SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference, Allyn & Bacon: Boston, 2003. 12. Goodnough, K., Hung, W. Teaching Education, 2009, 20, 229. 13. Hackling, M., Peers, S., Prain, V. Teaching Science, 2007, 53, 12. 14. Harlen, W. Research in Science Education, 1997, 27, 323. 15. Jarrett, L., Ferry, B., Takacs, G. International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education, 2012, 20, 25. 16. Kaya, O. N. International Journal of Science Education, 2009, 31, 961. 17. Keles, Ö., Ertas, H., Uzun, N., Cansiz, M. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2010, 9, 390. 18. Kaptan, F., Korkmaz, H. Hacettepe University Journal of Education, 2001, 21.59. 19. Kelly, T. L. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1939, 30, 17. 20. Kikas, E. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 2004, 41, 432. 21. Laugksch, R. C., Spargo, P. Public Understanding of Science, 1996, 5, 331. 22. Lewthwaite, В., MacIntyre, Β. STERpapers: science and technology education research papers, 2003, 161. http://researchonline.jcu.edu.au/34485/ 3/34485%20Lewthwaite%20and %20MacIntyre%202003%20Accepted %20version.pdf, accessed September, 2014. 23. Macdonald, D., Hoban, G. The International Journal of Learning, 2009, 16, 319. 24. Mellado, V. Alambique, 2000, 24, 57-65. 25. Michael, J. Advances in Physiology Education, 2006, 30, 159.

26. National Science Board [NSB] (2014). Science and Engineering Indicators 2014 (7.20-7.23), National Science Foundation (NSB 14-01): Arlington VA, **2014.** <u>http://www.nsf.gov/statis-</u> tics/seind14/index.cfm/chapter-7/c7h.htm, accessed April, 2014. 27. Schoon, K. J., Boone, W. J. *Science Education*, **1998**, *82*, 553. 28. Trundle, K. C., Atwood, R. K., Christopher, J. E. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, **2002**, *39*, 633.

# **APPENDIX 1.**

#### The questionnaire to assess primary teachers' science conceptual knowledge.

The correct option in each item has been highlighted (\*). The percentage of subjects choosing each answer option is shown. Blank answers are not considered. (Test and Retest have been averaged).

QUESTION	ANSWER OPTIONS (*correct answers)	PERCENTAGE
1. Which is the charac-	a) Volume	1%
teristic of sound that tells	b) Intensity	6%
us the difference between a high sound	c) Pitch*	68%
and a low sound?	d) Timber	25%
2. In which medium the	a) Solids*	14%
sound travels faster?	b) Liquids	21%
	c) Gases	28%
	d) Vacuum	37%
3. What is the movement	a) Rotation	21%
of the Earth around the	b) Precession	0%
Sun called?	c) Revolution*	79%
	d) Circumference	0%
4. How long does it take	a) 21 days	21%
the Moon to orbit the	b) 28 days*	68%
Earth?	c) 365 days	6%
	d) 7 days	2%
5. Which state change of	a) Condensation*	84%
matter is produced when	b) Melting	7%
water vapour is cooled	c) Solidification	9%
and become liquid wa- ter?	d) Evaporation	0%
6. What kind of device is	a) Weathercock	14%
used for measuring wind	b) Pluviometer	0%
speed?	c) Anemometer*	49%
	d) Barometer	36%
7. Which of the following	a) Minerals like marble and granite are of great utility for construction	25%
statements is correct?	b) Rocks have low value for human beings due to their few applications	1%
	c) Rocks are made of minerals*	64%
	d) Minerals are made of rocks	8%
8. What type of relief is a	a) A steep rock face, near vertical, at the edge of the sea	4%
gulf?	b) A part of land extending into the sea	19%
	c) A portion of the ocean or sea extending into the land*	75%
	d) A piece of land nearly surrounded by water but connected to continent	2%
9. Which is the function	a) Absorbing rays from solar light	9%
of phloem in a plant?	b) Carrying elaborated sap from the leafs to the rest of the plant*	47%
	c) Carrying raw sap from the roots to the rest of the plant	30%
	d) Absorbing water and mineral salts from the soil	9%
10. Which of the next an-	a) Birds	0%
imal groups are inverteb-	b) Fishes	1%
rates?	c) Insects*	82%
	d) Amphibian	15%

11. What is the name	a) Sepals*	31%
given to the leaves that	b) Stamens	9%
form the calyx of a	c) Petals	48%
flower?	d) Corolla	11%
12. Which magnitudes or	a) Weight and area	1%
general properties does	b) Volume and mass*	94%
matter have?	c) Volume and shape	1%
	d) Mass and area	4%
13. What happens when	a) Shape and velocity can be modified*	66%
a force is applied to an	b) Size and shape can be modified	10%
object?	c) Velocity and mass can be modified	10%
	d) Mass and volume can be modified	12%
14. What kind of changes	a) Physical changes	11%
modify the composition	b) Chemical changes*	82%
of matter?	c) Biological changes	1%
	d) None. Matter does not change	6%
15. Which of the follow-	a) Water and oil	8%
ing mixtures is homogen-	b) Water and gas	14%
eous?	c) Iron filing and sulphur powder	3%
	d) Water with salt dissolved*	75%
16. What is the bending	a) Reflexion	16%
of light rays when they	b) Diffraction	20%
bass from a fast medium	c) Attenuation	3%
to a slower one called?	d) Refraction*	60%
17. Which colour is ob-	a) Black	49%
tained if all the colours of	b) White*	45%
he rainbow are mixed?	c) Red	4%
	d) Yellow	1%
18. What is the name	a) Nebulas	50%
given to the groups	b) Constellations	15%
formed by gas, interstel-	c) Galaxies*	34%
ar dust and thousands or		
millions of stars?	d) Planetary systems	1%
19. Which planets of the	a) Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune	16%
Solar System are called	b) Earth and Mars	19%
the "rocky" planets?	c) Mercury, Venus, Earth and Mars*	55%
	d) All Solar System planets are made up by rocks	8%
20. In which region of the	a) lonosphere	13%
atmosphere is the ozone	b) Troposphere	23%
ayer that protects us	c) Mesosphere	13%
from harmful radiations?	d) Stratosphere*	49%
21. What is the name	a) Reservoirs	12%
given to small natural	b) Ponds*	79%
areas where fresh water	c) Rivers	9%
s stored?	d) Seas	0%
22. What part of a vol-	a) Underground pool where magma resides temporary	1%
cano is the conduit?	b) Orifice connecting volcano to the exterior	29%
	c) Pipe by which magma flows up*	70%
	d) Rocks and solid material formed when lava gets cold	0%
23. What is the earth- quake's epicentre?	a) The closest point on the Earth surface to the point where the earthquake originates*	94%
1	b) It is the measure of the effects produce on people, constructions and on the Earth's surface	0%
	c) It is the measure of the earthquake's size and shows the amount of energy released	0%
	d) It is the measure of the earthquake's size using the MSK scale	6%
24. What kind of rock is	a) Igneous	11%
clay?	b) Metamorphic	18%
siay :	c) Magmatic	9%
	d) Sedimentary*	<u> </u>
25. What is climate?	a) Meteorological features occurring in a specific region over a long period of time*	72%
		-

	place	
	c) The estate of the atmosphere over a short period of time (e.g. from day to day or week to week)	0%
	d) The meteorological conditions produced by changes in atmospheric pressure	9%
26. Which is the vital	a) Respiration	13%
function that flowers ac-	b) Reproduction*	79%
complish in plants?	c) Nutrition	3%
	d) Interaction	3%
27. In addition to water	a) O <sub>2</sub> (oxygen)	21%
and	b) CO (carbon monoxide)	3%
Sun, what gas do the	c) CO <sub>2</sub> (carbon dioxide)*	73%
plants need to carry out the photosynthesis?	d) $N_2$ (nitrogen)	0%
28. Which of the follow-	a) Animal cells have mitochondria and plant cells do not	3%
ing statements about the differences between	b) Plant cells contain organelles called chloroplasts that animal cells do not*	72%
plant cells and animal	c) Plant cells do not have cellular membrane and animal cells do	23%
cells is correct?	<ul> <li>d) There are no differences. All living organism are made up of the same cells</li> </ul>	1%
29. Living things can be	a) Organs*	77%
arranged in levels of complexity. When some	b) Apparatus	13%
tissues co-work to per-	c) Molecules	6%
form the same function, what do they form?	d) Interwoven systems	4%
30. Which organ is re-	a) Stomach	1%
sponsible for filtering the	b) Liver	28%
blood to remove waste	c) Kidneys*	70%
substances?	d) Urinary bladder	1%
31. What biological king-	a) Fungi	7%
dom do viruses belong	b) Monera	26%
to?	c) Protista	38%
	d) In any kingdom*	29%
32. Where is the ex-	a) Bronchi	13%
change among O <sub>2</sub> and	b) Bronchioles	8%
CO <sub>2</sub> produced in the res-	c) Pulmonary alveolus*	79%
piratory system?	d) Trachea	0%
33. Nutrients pass into	a) The large intestine	16%
the blood in	b) The small intestine*	56%
	c) The oesophagus	8%
	d) The stomach	20%
34. Which blood com-	a) Plasma	2%
ponent has the function	b) Platelets	5%
of carrying oxygen?	c) White cells	21%
	d) Red cells*	72%
35. What duct connects	a) Ureter	11%
the inner body to the out-	b) Anus	74%
side in the excretory sys-	c) Urethra*	12%
tem?	d) Urinary bladder	2%
36. Which organs form	a) The brain and the spinal cord*	60%
the central nervous sys-	b) The cerebrum and the cerebellum	9%
tem?	c) The cerebrum, the cerebellum and the medulla oblongata	26%
	d) The sensory and motor nerves	3%
37. Which endocrine	a) Hypophysis	15%
gland produces insulin?	b) Thyroid gland	18%
	c) Pancreas*	65%
	d) Ovaries	0%
38. What is the name	a) Gamete	30%
given to the cells result-	b) Ovule	2%
ing from the fertilization?	c) Spermatozoon	1%
	d) Zygote*	67%
39. Which unit can be	a) Gram (g.)	4%

used to measure volume	b) Kilogram (Kg)	11%
instead of litres and milli-	c) Cubic centimetre (cm <sup>3</sup> )*	84%
litres?	d) Metre (m)	1%
40. Which characteristic property of matter results	a) Hardness	<u>1%</u> 14%
from dividing mass by	b) Weight c) Density*	84%
volume?	d) Length	0%
41. What determines	a) Its weight compared with that of the liquid	9%
whether an element	b) Its mass compared with that of the liquid	7%
floats or sinks in a liquid?	c) Its volume compared with that of the liquid	8%
	d) Its density compared with that of the liquid*	76%
42. Which of the follow-	a) It's impossible to store energy in order to use it when necessary	1%
ing statements about en- ergy is correct?	b) While transferring from one body to another, part of the energy is destroyed	4%
	c) Energy is created from solar rays by solar panels	20%
	d) Energy can be transferred from one body (system) to another*	73%
43. What kind of energy	a) Sound energy	1%
does a moving object	b) Thermal energy	5%
have?	c) Electromagnetic energy	4%
	d) Mechanic energy*	90%
44. Which of the follow-	a) They are considered inexhaustible energy sources*	41%
ing statements about re-	b) They are at present time the most common energy sources	2%
newable energy sources	c) Thermal solar energy is an energy source that generates electricity	50%
is correct?	by means of photovoltaic solar panels	
	d) It does not produce any kind of visual impact	7%
45. Combustion of petro- leum products produces emissions of pollutant	a) Greenhouse effect	9%
gases to the atmosphere, such as sulphur dioxide	b) Global warming	8%
(SO <sub>2</sub> ). What is the name of the atmospheric phe- nomenon resulting from the mixture of sulphur di- oxide and water vapour from the atmosphere? 46. What is the docu- ment, considered a very	c) Acid rain*	81%
	d) Cold front	2%
	a) The Universal Declaration of Human Right	26%
valuable educational in-	b) The Charter of the United Nations*	18%
strument, where the fun- damental ethical prin- ciples for Sustainable Development were es- tablished?	c) The Earth Charter	18%
	d) The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development	36%
47. Which movement of	a) Protons flow from the positive pole to the negative pole	15%
charged particles in a	b) Electrons flow from the positive pole to the negative pole	34%
closed circuit is produced	c) Protons flow from the negative pole to the positive pole	8%
when electric current	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
flows through it?	d) Electrons flow from the negative pole to the positive pole*	38%
48. Which device, based	a) Battery	23%
on Faraday's experi-	b) Electric motor	4%
ments, generates electric	c) Transformer	37%
current using a magnetic field?	d) Dynamo*	34%
49. Which of the follow-	a) Magnetisation	3%
ing methods would you	b) Distillation	11%
use in order to separate	c) Filtration*	78%
a solid from a liquid in a		
heterogeneous mixture?	d) Crystallisation	6%
50. Which of the follow-	a) Fermentation*	61%
ing chemical reactions	b) Cellular respiration	9%
takes place without oxy-	c) Oxidation	16%
gen?	d) Combustion	13%

#### PERIÓDICO TCHÊ QUÍMICA • www.periodico.tchequimica.com • Vol. 13 N. 26. • ISSN 1806-0374 (impresso) • ISSN 1806-9827 (CD-ROM) • ISSN 2179-0302 (meio eletrônico) © 2016. Porto Alegre, RS. Brasil

The Periódico Tché Química (ISSN: 1806-0374; 2179-0302) is an open-access journal since 2004. Journal DOI: 10.52571/PTQ. http://www.tchequimica.com. This text was introduced in this file in 2021 for compliance reasons. © The Author(s) OPEN ACCESS. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article 's Creative Commons license unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.